

### UNITED STATES DE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/689,824	10/13/00	SASAKI		Т	PNET.011D
		MM91/092	<sub>7</sub>		EXAMINER
TONES VOLE	NTINE, LLC	<i>'</i>	BERRY, R		
SUITE 150	1 "I F als 1 "I house "I" house from "em"		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12200 SUNR RESTON VA	ISE VALLEY 1 20191	PRIVE	_	2818	
					09/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

PTO-90C (Rev. 11/00) 1- File Copy

# •

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/689,824

Applicant(s)

.....

Examiner Renee Berry

Art Unit 2818

Sasaki



The MAILIN	G DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONT  - If the period for reply be considered time  - If NO period for reply communication.  - Failure to reply within  - Apy reply received by	OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The provisions of 37 CF  THS from the mailing date of this communicates specified above is less than thirty (30) days, by.  The provision of the maximum statutory provided above, the maximum statutory provided above.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM  R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status				
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is				
3) Since this appropriate closed in acceptance.	Dication is in condition for allowance e ordance with the practice under Ex pai	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 7-23	}	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the abo	ve, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 💢 Claims <u>7-23</u>		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specifica	ition is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing	(s) filed onis/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The propose	d drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.		
12) The oath or	declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
a) □ All b) □ 1. □ Certifie	ement is made of a claim for foreign p $Some^* c) \square None of:$ d copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.		
2. Certifie	d copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No.		
	of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ed detailed Office action for a list of th	ocuments have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  ne certified copies not received.		
*See the attach	ement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
,				
Attachment(s)		18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
<ul> <li>15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ul>		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		
17) Information Disclosur	9 Statement(s) (LIO-1449) Labor 110(s):			

Application/Control Number: 09/689,824

Art Unit: 2818

(

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 7-15 are, drawn to a process for making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 104.
  - II. Claims 16-23 are, drawn to a device-semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 500+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as lamination techniques.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2818

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. R. Berry whose telephone number is (703) 305-4544.

RRB

September 26, 2001